

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 09/690,066 | 10/16/2000 | Craig L. Ogg | 36531/RRT/S850 | 3372 | |
| 23363 7 | 7590 09/06/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 | | | BACKER, | BACKER, FIRMIN | |
| PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 3621 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 00/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A N Al Al | A | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| A I to a Audion | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/690,066 | OGG ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| · | FIRMN BACKER | 3621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | iress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | • | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. Ir | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. In pair in the situation of the final rejection. If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in ber | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | the isodes for | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-161. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | II be entered and an o | explanation of | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | | | |
| | | | P | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | | | |

FIRMN BACKER Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621